



# COUNCIL

## Agenda and Reports

for the meeting on

Tuesday, 24 March 2026

at 6.00 pm

in the Council Chamber, Adelaide Town Hall

© 2026 City of Adelaide. All Rights Reserved.

Our Adelaide.  
**Bold.**  
**Aspirational.**  
**Innovative.**

Members:           The Right Honourable the Lord Mayor, Dr Jane Lomax-Smith (Presiding)  
Deputy Lord Mayor, Councillor Noon  
Councillors Abrahamzadeh, Cabada, Couros, Davis, Freeman, Giles, Maher, Martin,  
Dr Siebentritt and Snape

## Agenda

Item	Pages
<b>1. Acknowledgement of Country</b> The Lord Mayor will state: 'Council acknowledges that we are meeting on traditional Country of the Kaurna people of the Adelaide Plains and pays respect to Elders past and present. We recognise and respect their cultural heritage, beliefs and relationship with the land. We acknowledge that they are of continuing importance to the Kaurna people living today.  And we also extend that respect to other Aboriginal Language Groups and other First Nations who are present today.'	
<b>2. Acknowledgement of Colonel William Light</b> The Lord Mayor will state: 'The Council acknowledges the vision of Colonel William Light in determining the site for Adelaide and the design of the City with its six squares and surrounding belt of continuous Park Lands which is recognised on the National Heritage List as one of the greatest examples of Australia's planning heritage.'	
<b>3. Prayer</b> The Lord Mayor will state: 'We pray for wisdom, courage, empathy, understanding and guidance in the decisions that we make, whilst seeking and respecting the opinions of others.'	
<b>4. Pledge</b> The Lord Mayor will state: 'May we in this meeting speak honestly, listen attentively, think clearly and decide wisely for the good governance of the City of Adelaide and the wellbeing of those we serve.'	
<b>5. Memorial Silence</b> The Lord Mayor will ask all present to stand in silence in memory of those who gave their lives in defence of their Country, at sea, on land and in the air.	
<b>6. Apologies and Leave of Absence</b> Leave of Absence - Councillor Snape	
<b>7. Confirmation of Minutes - 10/3/2026</b> That the Minutes of the meeting of the Council held on 10 March 2026, be taken as read and be confirmed as an accurate record of proceedings.  View public <a href="#">10 March 2026</a> Minutes.	

<b>8.</b>	<b>Declaration of Conflict of Interest</b>	
<b>9.</b>	<b>Deputations</b>	
	Granted at time of Agenda Publication – 19/3/2026	
	Nil	
<b>10.</b>	<b>Petitions</b>	
	Nil	
	<b>Recommendation/Advice from Committee/s</b>	
<b>11.</b>	<b>Recommendations of the Infrastructure and Public Works Committee – 17 March 2026</b>	4 - 13
<b>12.</b>	<b>Reports for Council (Chief Executive Officer's Reports)</b>	
	Nil	
<b>13.</b>	<b>Lord Mayor's Reports</b>	
<b>14.</b>	<b>Councillors' Reports</b>	
	<b>14.1</b> Reports from Council Members	14 - 17
<b>15.</b>	<b>Motions on Notice</b>	
	<b>15.1</b> Councillor Cabada - MoN - Feasibility Assessment - Scramble Crossing at East Terrace and Rundle Street/Rundle Road	18 - 19
<b>16.</b>	<b>Motions without Notice</b>	
<b>17.</b>	<b>Questions on Notice</b>	
	<b>17.1</b> Councillor Cabada - QoN - Correspondence with the Premier and State Ministers	20
	<b>17.2</b> Councillor Cabada - QoN - Commercial Property Valuations and Rates Objection Process	21 - 22
	<b>17.3</b> Councillor Cabada - QoN - Application of Endorsed Parklet Fee Schedules Across Permit Holders	23
	<b>17.4</b> Councillor Cabada - QoN - Vehicle Theft in the Adelaide CBD	24
	<b>17.5</b> Councillor Abrahamzadeh - QoN - Motions and Questions	25
	<b>17.6</b> Councillor Couros - QoN - Freedom of Information - SAPOL Communications	26
	<b>17.7</b> Councillor Couros - QoN - Part 6 of Question on Notice - Application of Endorsed Parklet Fee Schedules Across Permit Holders	27
<b>18.</b>	<b>Questions without Notice</b>	
<b>19.</b>	<b>Exclusion of the Public</b>	28 - 32
	In accordance with sections 90(2),(3) and (7) of the <i>Local Government Act 1999 (SA)</i> Council will consider whether to discuss in confidence the reports contained within sections 20 and 21 of this Agenda.	
<b>20.</b>	<b>Confidential Question on Notice Reply [s 90(3) (b), (d)]</b>	
	<b>Confidential Recommendation/Advice from Committee/s</b>	
<b>21.</b>	Confidential Recommendation of the Infrastructure and Public Works Committee – 17 March 2026 [s 90(3) (b), (d)]	33 - 43
<b>22.</b>	<b>Closure</b>	

## Recommendations of the Infrastructure and Public Works Committee – 17 March 2026

Tuesday, 24 March 2026  
Council

Strategic Alignment – Our Corporation

Public

**Program Contact:**  
Rebecca Hayes, Associate  
Director Governance and  
Strategy

**Approving Officer:**  
Anthony Spartalis, Chief  
Operating Officer

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Infrastructure and Public Works Committee considered the following Items at its meeting held on 17 March 2026 and resolved to present to Council the following recommendations for Council determination:

- Item 7.1 – Capital Works Monthly Project Update - February 2026
- Item 7.2 – Community Consultation Outcomes - Victoria Park Commercial Leases
- Item 7.3 – Road Renaming Request

### RECOMMENDATION

**1. Recommendation 1 – Item 7.1 - Capital Works Monthly Project Update - February 2026**

THAT COUNCIL

1. Notes the Capital Works Program Update for 28 February 2026 as contained within this report and Attachment A to Item 7.1 on the Agenda for the meeting of the Infrastructure and Public Works Committee held on 17 March 2026.

**2. Recommendation 2 – Item 7.2 - Community Consultation Outcomes - Victoria Park Commercial Leases**

THAT COUNCIL

1. Notes the findings of the Community Consultation outcomes on the draft Key Lease Terms as contained in Attachment A to Item 7.2 on the Agenda for the meeting of the Infrastructure and Public Works Committee held on 17 March 2026.
2. Authorises the Chief Executive Officer to enter into a Lease Agreement with La Dolce Vita Event Management & Catering Pty Ltd for The Bookmakers.
3. Authorises the Chief Executive Officer to enter into a Lease Agreement with Lola Café Bar Pty Ltd for The Victoria Park Kiosk.
4. Authorises the Lord Mayor and Chief Executive Officer to sign and affix as necessary the Common Seal to the Lease Agreements and all associated documentation to give effect to the above-mentioned decisions.

**3. Recommendation 3 – Item 7.3 - Road Renaming Request**

THAT COUNCIL

1. Notes the report outlining the request from the family of Sister Janet Mead to rename a portion of Queen Street located between Angas Street and Carrington Street to Sister Janet Mead Street.

2. Notes that the family of Sister Janet Mead do not wish to pursue the naming of an unnamed private road after Sister Janet Mead, as they believe that the unnamed private roads are not suitable to reflect her life and contributions to society.
  3. Resolves to proceed with the request to rename a portion of Queen Street between Angas Street and Carrington Street as outlined in Attachment A to Item 7.3 on the Agenda for the meeting of the Infrastructure and Public Works Committee held on 17 March 2026, to Sister Janet Mead Street, subject to the consultation process prescribed in paragraph 33 of the report.
-

# DISCUSSION

1. The Infrastructure and Public Works Committee met on Tuesday 17 March 2026. The Agenda with reports for the meeting can be viewed [here](#).
2. Where the resolution of the Committee differs from the recommendation published in the Committee agenda, the Committee's recommendation to the Council is listed first, with the original recommendation provided in grey and italics.
3. The following matters were the subject of deliberation:

3.1. Item 7.1 – Capital Works Monthly Project Update - February 2026

THAT THE INFRASTRUCTURE AND PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS TO COUNCIL:

THAT COUNCIL:

1. Notes the Capital Works Program Update for 28 February 2026 as contained within this report and Attachment A to Item 7.1 on the Agenda for the meeting of the Infrastructure and Public Works Committee held on 17 March 2026.

For ease, Attachment A relating to Recommendation 1, Item 7.1, has been included at the end of this recommendation report.

3.2. Item 7.2 – Community Consultation Outcomes - Victoria Park Commercial Leases

THAT THE INFRASTRUCTURE AND PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS TO COUNCIL:

THAT COUNCIL:

1. Notes the findings of the Community Consultation outcomes on the draft Key Lease Terms as contained in Attachment A to Item 7.2 on the Agenda for the meeting of the Infrastructure and Public Works Committee held on 17 March 2026.
2. Authorises the Chief Executive Officer to enter into a Lease Agreement with La Dolce Vita Event Management & Catering Pty Ltd for The Bookmakers.
3. Authorises the Chief Executive Officer to enter into a Lease Agreement with Lola Café Bar Pty Ltd for The Victoria Park Kiosk.
4. Authorises the Lord Mayor and Chief Executive Officer to sign and affix as necessary the Common Seal to the Lease Agreements and all associated documentation to give effect to the above-mentioned decisions.

For ease, Attachment A relating to Recommendation 2, Item 7.2, has been included at the end of this recommendation report.

3.3. Item 7.3 – Road Renaming Request

THAT THE INFRASTRUCTURE AND PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS TO COUNCIL:

THAT COUNCIL:

1. Notes the report outlining the request from the family of Sister Janet Mead to rename a portion of Queen Street located between Angas Street and Carrington Street to Sister Janet Mead Street.
2. Notes that the family of Sister Janet Mead do not wish to pursue the naming of an unnamed private road after Sister Janet Mead, as they believe that the unnamed private roads are not suitable to reflect her life and contributions to society.
3. Resolves to proceed with the request to rename a portion of Queen Street between Angas Street and Carrington Street as outlined in Attachment A to Item 7.3 on the Agenda for the meeting of the Infrastructure and Public Works Committee held on 17 March 2026, to Sister Janet Mead Street, subject to the consultation process prescribed in paragraph 33 of the report.

For ease, Attachment A relating to Recommendation 3, Item 7.3, has been included at the end of this recommendation report.

Original Recommendation as Printed in the IPW Committee Agenda

THAT THE INFRASTRUCTURE AND PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS TO COUNCIL:

THAT COUNCIL:

1. *Notes the report outlining the request from the family of Sister Janet Mead to rename a portion of Queen Street located between Angas Street and Carrington Street to Sister Janet Mead Street.*
2. *Notes that the family of Sister Janet Mead do not wish to pursue the naming of an unnamed private road after Sister Janet Mead, as they believe that the unnamed private roads are not suitable to reflect her life and contributions to society.*
3. *Resolves to not proceed with the request to rename a portion of Queen Street between Angas Street and Carrington Street as outlined in Attachment A to Item 7.3 on the Agenda for the meeting of the Infrastructure and Public Works Committee held on 17 March 2026, to Sister Janet Mead Street on the basis that the renaming request is not supported by Council's Naming Policy for Roads and notifies the family of Sister Janet Mead of Council's decision.*

---

## DATA AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION

**Link 1** – Infrastructure and Public Works Committee Public Agenda

---

## ATTACHMENTS

---

- END OF REPORT -

# Capital Works

## February Update

Infrastructure & Public Works Committee

This report provides an overview of Capital Projects either complete or progressing for the month of February 2026.



## Central Market Arcade Redevelopment

New/Upgrade



There are 640 personnel working on site.

The northern residential / hotel tower has reached level 37. The jump form of the central core has topped out and is complete.

The façade installation on the north tower has reached level 34 and the southern tower installation is complete. The Gouger Street brick façade is complete while the final Grote Street brick façade remains in progress to the east. Balconies along both facades continue to be installed.

All areas of the podium are in the process of fit out works. Ground floor black flooring applied finish is underway. The second of four tower cranes has been decommissioned and removed from site on the Gouger Street side. Stage 1 completion of the podium is scheduled for April 2026.

## Glen Osmond Road / Hutt Road and Carriageway Park / Tuthangga (Park 17) Improvements

New/Upgrade and Renewal



Works have continued along the old creek alignment, with the final culverts installed, along with the bespoke Junction Box.

Lighting and electrical elements are progressing, and new signals for the intersection will come into operation in March. A new road surface is scheduled to be laid in early March.

The project which also includes a shared use path and landscaping is anticipated to be completed by 30 June 2026.

## Port Road Hindmarsh Bridge Underpass Path Widening

New/Upgrade



This project has delivered a widened shared-use path beneath the Port Road Hindmarsh Bridge at the city boundary to improve safety and accessibility for pedestrians and cyclists.

Works included widening the existing footpath to support safer shared use, installation of new Austroads-compliant safety fencing, upgraded public lighting, and improvements to the retaining walls within the underpass.

The path has now reopened for public use. Construction was completed in just over four weeks, and the project was delivered on schedule. Minor site tidy-up works are currently underway

## Traffic Signal Renewals

Renewal



Traffic signal renewals to Kermode Wombat Crossing, Gray and Currie Streets, and Sturt Street and West Terrace

Works have included, installation of new controllers, new pit lids and surrounds, replacement of selected traffic poles that were at end of asset life, new conduits, rewiring at intersection to meet current CoA standards and new consumer mains connection.

## Town Clerk's Walk and University Oval Road

### Renewal



Works have progressed well, with the sections from King William Road to War Memorial Drive and on to University Oval Road now complete.

As well as path widening and surface improvements, pits and conduits have been installed in-ground to allow for a new public lighting system to be installed in the future.

Path upgrade works continue in the section between University Oval Road and Frome Road and are anticipated to be completed in April 2026.

## Bonython Park Footpath and Lighting Renewal

### Renewal



Renewal and widening of a section of the River Torrens Linear Trail and renewal of public lighting and associated infrastructure. Works commenced 2 February and are expected to be completed 20 March.

**Community Consultation Summary  
Victoria Park / Pakapakanthi (Park 16) – Commercial Leases**

**Overview**

Community consultation was undertaken between 24 November and 15 December 2025 on the draft Key Lease Terms for two existing commercial premises:

- The Bookmakers                      La Dolce Vita Event Management & Catering Pty Ltd
- The Victoria Park Kiosk              Lola Café Bar Pty Ltd

A total of 94 submissions were received during the consultation period.

**Quantitative Results**

**Support for Proposed Lease – The Bookmakers**

- 90.11% supported Council progressing with a lease not exceeding 10 years
- 6.59% did not support the proposal
- 3.30% were unsure

**Support for Proposed Lease – The Victoria Park Kiosk**

- 89.13% supported Council progressing with a lease not exceeding 10 years
- 3.26% did not support the proposal
- 7.61% were unsure

**Qualitative Feedback – Key Themes**

**Key Themes of Support**

- Strong support for the continued activation of Victoria Park / Pakapakanthi through hospitality uses.
- Recognition of the value of existing commercial buildings in supporting recreation and social connection.
- Support for retaining and improving existing structures rather than new development.
- Desire for stability and certainty for current operators.
- Positive feedback on service quality, events, and community functions.

**Key Themes of Concern**

- Concerns about over-commercialization of the Park Lands.
- Requests to avoid increases in building footprint, height, or car parking impacts.
- Noise and late-night event management concerns.
- Occasionally reduced public access during private functions.
- Limited concern regarding any gambling-related associations.

## Aerial image of portion of Queen Street proposed for renaming



### Legend

- ▭ Portion of Queen Street requested to be renamed to Sister Janet Mead Street
- ▭ Location of Adelaide Day Centre for Homeless Persons
- ▭ Portion of Queen Street to remain named as Queen Street and be subject to renumbering
- ▭ Unnamed private road previously proposed for renaming after Sister Janet Mead

## Reports from Council Members

Tuesday, 24 March 2026

Council

Strategic Alignment - Our Corporation

**Program Contact:**

Rebecca Hayes, Associate  
Director Governance & Strategy

Public

**Approving Officer:**

Anthony Spartalis, Chief  
Operating Officer

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to:

1. Inform Council of Council Member activities and functions that Council Members have attended on behalf of the Lord Mayor for the period 20 February to 19 March 2026.
2. Provide a summary of Council Members' attendance at meetings for the period 16 February to 15 March 2026.

Council Members may also table reports on activities undertaken on Boards and Committees where they are representing Council, and these reports will be included in the Minutes of the meeting.

---

## RECOMMENDATION

### THAT COUNCIL

1. Notes the Council Member activities and functions attended on behalf of the Lord Mayor as contained in Attachment A to Item 14.1 on the Agenda for the meeting of the Council held on 24 March 2026.
  2. Notes the summary of meeting attendance by Council Members as contained in Attachment B to Item 14.1 on the Agenda for the meeting of the Council held on 24 March 2026.
  3. Notes that reports from Council Members tabled at the meeting of the Council held on 24 March 2026 will be included in the Minutes of the meeting.
-

# ATTACHMENTS

**Attachment A** – Council Member activities and functions attended on behalf of the Lord Mayor

**Attachment B** – Summary of Council Member meeting attendance

---

- END OF REPORT -

<b>FUNCTIONS ATTENDED ON BEHALF OF THE LORD MAYOR: 20 February 2025 - 19 March 2026</b>			
<b>COUNCIL MEMBER</b>	<b>DATE</b>	<b>EVENT TITLE</b>	<b>EVENT DETAILS</b>
Councillor Carmel Noon (Deputy Lord Mayor)	20/02/26	Adelaide Fringe VIP Opening Night Event	Dom Polski Centre
Councillor Eleanor Freeman	20/02/26	2026 HomeStart Fashion & Costume Graduate Parade - Chrysalis	Entertainment Centre
Councillor Carmel Noon (Deputy Lord Mayor)	21/02/26	Chinatown Adelaide 2026 Lunar New Year Street Party - SPEECH	Chinatown Adelaide
Councillor Carmel Noon (Deputy Lord Mayor)	26/02/26	2026 Citizen of the Year Awards evening at Government House	Government House
Councillor Carmel Noon (Deputy Lord Mayor)	06/03/26	Australian Asian Chamber of Commerce and Industry - 2026 Year of the Horse Shanghai Soirée	Hilton Adelaide
Councillor Carmel Noon (Deputy Lord Mayor)	07/03/26	Installation of the new Anglican Archbishop of Adelaide	St Peter's Cathedral
<b>COUNCIL MEMBER MEETINGS ATTENDED: 20 February 2025 - 19 March 2026</b>			
<b>COUNCIL MEMBER</b>	<b>DATE</b>	<b>EVENT TITLE</b>	<b>EVENT DETAILS</b>
Councillor Phillip Martin	05/03/26	Adelaide Central Market Board Meeting	Attended as Representative

## Council Member Meeting Attendance

	City Finance and Governance Committee 17 February 2026	Infrastructure and Public Works Committee 17 February 2026	Council 24 February 2026	Reconciliation Committee 25 February 2026	Conduct Committee 26 February 2026	Kadaltilla / Adelaide Park Lands Authority 26 February 2026	CEO Performance Review Panel 2 March 2026
Lord Mayor Dr Jane Lomax-Smith			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Councillor Carmel Noon (Deputy Lord Mayor)	✓	✓	✓		✓		✓
Councillor Arman Abrahamzadeh	✓	✓	✓				
Councillor Alfredo Cabada	✓	✓	✓				
Councillor Mary Couros			✓				
Councillor Henry Davis	✓	✓	✓				
Councillor Eleanor Freeman	✓	✓	✓	✓			
Councillor Janet Giles			✓	✓			
Councillor Patrick Maher	✓	✓	✓				
Councillor Phillip Martin	✓	✓	✓				
Councillor Dr Mark Siebentritt	✓	✓	✓				
Councillor Keiran Snape			✓			✓	
Total number	8	8	12	3	2	2	2

	City Community Services and Culture Committee 3 March 2026	City Planning, Development and Business Affairs Committee 3 March 2026	Adelaide Central Market Authority Board Meeting 5 March 2026	Council 10 March 2026	Adelaide Economic Development Agency Board Meeting 11 March 2026	Total meetings held	Total meetings attended
Lord Mayor Dr Jane Lomax-Smith	✓	✓		✓	✓	11	9
Councillor Carmel Noon (Deputy Lord Mayor)	✓	✓		✓		8	8
Councillor Arman Abrahamzadeh	✓	✓		✓		6	6
Councillor Alfredo Cabada	✓	✓		✓		6	6
Councillor Mary Couros	✓	✓		✓		6	4
Councillor Henry Davis	✓	✓		✓		6	6
Councillor Eleanor Freeman	✓	✓		✓		7	7
Councillor Janet Giles	✓	✓		✓		7	5
Councillor Patrick Maher	✓	✓		✓		6	6
Councillor Phillip Martin	✓	✓	✓	✓		7	7
Councillor Dr Mark Siebentritt	✓	✓				8	5
Councillor Keiran Snape						7	2
Total number	11	11	1	10	1		

Key:

	Apology
	Apology - meeting commenced prior to 5pm
	Leave
	Absent
	Not a Member
	Proxy Member (not in attendance)
	Ex-officio Member

## Councillor Cabada - MoN - Feasibility Assessment - Scramble Crossing at East Terrace and Rundle Street/Rundle Road

Tuesday, 24 March 2026  
**Council**

**Council Member**  
Councillor Alfredo Cabada

Public

**Contact Officer:**  
Mike Philippou, Acting Director City Infrastructure

## MOTION ON NOTICE

**Councillor Alfredo Cabada will move a motion and seek a seconder for the matter shown below to facilitate consideration by the Council:**

'That Council:

1. Notes the significant pedestrian volumes at the intersection of East Terrace and Rundle Street / Rundle Road, particularly during peak periods, major events and weekends.
2. Notes that this location connects Rundle Park, the East End precinct, major event spaces and cycling corridors, resulting in high multi-directional pedestrian movement.
3. Notes that a scramble crossing, incorporating a dedicated pedestrian phase allowing diagonal crossing while all vehicular traffic is stopped, may improve safety, pedestrian flow and overall user experience.
4. Requests that the CEO undertake and present a feasibility assessment on the introduction of a scramble crossing at this intersection, including:
  - a) Whether this intersection has previously been assessed for a scramble crossing and the outcome of any such assessment.
  - b) Traffic modelling implications, including impacts on vehicle throughput, freight movements and bus routes.
  - c) Pedestrian safety considerations and potential reduction in vehicle–pedestrian conflict points.
  - d) Required signal infrastructure changes and associated capital costs.
  - e) Potential funding pathways, including external or grant opportunities.
5. Requests that the feasibility assessment be treated as a priority and be based on current pedestrian and traffic data.
6. Requests that the completed feasibility assessment be presented to Council as soon as practicable and no later than Tuesday 26 May 2026.'

---

## ADMINISTRATION COMMENT

1. Council records indicate that the intersection of East Terrace and Rundle Street / Rundle Road has not been previously assessed for a scramble crossing.
2. A scramble pedestrian crossing is where pedestrian crossings on all legs of a signalised intersection operate simultaneously including diagonal crossings, such as currently installed at the intersection of Rundle Mall/Hindley Street/King William Street, and at Rundle Mall/Rundle Street/Pulteney Street.

3. The proposal for a scramble pedestrian crossing on a Council road must be assessed in accordance with the Department for Infrastructure and Transport (DIT) Scramble Pedestrian Crossings Operational Instruction 14.01 which can be viewed [here](#) and is subject to the approval of DIT.
4. Should the motion be passed, the Administration will present an initial assessment on the viability of a scramble crossing at the intersection of East Terrace and Rundle Street / Rundle Road via an E-news by Tuesday 26 May 2026.
5. To assist with the initial assessment, the Administration will schedule pedestrian volume counts to be undertaken at the intersection of East Terrace and Rundle Street / Rundle Road over four separate days.
6. The initial assessment will include preliminary feedback from DIT if they are able to meet the requested timeframe.
7. Following the initial assessment noted above subsequent steps required to progress a full assessment of a scramble crossing include responding to DIT feedback, preparing updated modelling and traffic signal plans, preparation of a traffic impact statement necessary to obtain final DIT approval and seek funding through Council's Business Plan and Budget process prior to undertaking the physical works.

Should the motion be carried, the following implications of this motion should be considered. Note any costs provided are estimates only – no quotes or prices have been obtained:	
Public consultation	Not applicable
External consultant advice	Approximately \$5,000 for data collection
Legal advice / litigation (eg contract breach)	Not applicable
Impacts on existing projects	Reallocation of internal resources from existing projects & initiatives
Budget reallocation	Not applicable
Capital investment	Not applicable at this stage
Staff time in preparing the workshop / report requested in the motion	Approximately 37.5 hours (one week)
Other	Not applicable
Staff time in receiving and preparing this administration comment	To prepare this administration comment in response to the motion on notice took approximately 9.5 hours.

---

- END OF REPORT -

## Councillor Cabada - QoN - Correspondence with the Premier and State Ministers

Tuesday, 24 March 2026  
Council

**Council Member**  
Councillor Alfredo Cabada

Public

**Contact Officer:**  
Anthony Spartalis, Chief Operating  
Officer

## QUESTION ON NOTICE

**Councillor Alfredo Cabada will ask the following Question on Notice:**

- '1. Since 22 November 2022, how many formal letters have been sent by the Lord Mayor or the Chief Executive Officer to:
  - a) The Premier; and
  - b) State Government Ministers?
2. Of those letters:
  - a) How many have received a formal written response?
  - b) How many remain without a response?
3. For correspondence that has not received a response:
  - a) What is the date each letter was sent?
  - b) How many days have elapsed since each letter was issued?
  - c) Has any follow-up correspondence or contact been made, and if so, on what date?
  - d) What are the subject matters of the outstanding letters that have not yet received a response?
4. Does the City of Adelaide maintain a formal register or tracking mechanism for correspondence sent to the Premier and Ministers, including response timeframes?
5. Is there an established protocol for follow-up where correspondence has not been acknowledged or responded to within a reasonable timeframe?
6. In the past 12 months, what has been the average response time for ministerial correspondence?

The Lord Mayor will provide a reply at the meeting, the reply and question will be included in the Minutes of the meeting.

---

- END OF REPORT -

## Councillor Cabada - QoN - Commercial Property Valuations and Rates Objection Process

Tuesday, 24 March 2026  
Council

**Council Member**  
Councillor Alfredo Cabada

Public

**Contact Officer:**  
Anthony Spartalis, Chief Operating Officer

## QUESTION ON NOTICE

**Councillor Alfredo Cabada will ask the following Question on Notice:**

1. What is the process for commercial ratepayers to lodge an objection to the valuation of their property where they believe the assessed value is incorrect?
2. Who is responsible for determining property valuations used for rating purposes:
  - a) Is this undertaken by the Valuer-General, an external contractor, or another entity?
  - b) What role, if any, does the City of Adelaide have in influencing or reviewing these valuations?
3. When a commercial ratepayer lodges an objection:
  - a) Which organisation assesses and determines the objection?
  - b) What is the average timeframe for resolution?
  - c) What rights of review or appeal are available if the ratepayer is dissatisfied with the outcome?
4. Where is the organisation responsible for administering or determining valuation objections headquartered?
5. How many commercial valuation objections have been lodged within the City of Adelaide in:
  - a) The 2023–24 financial year; and
  - b) The 2024–25 financial year to date?
6. Of those objections:
  - a) How many resulted in a reduction in valuation?
  - b) What was the aggregate financial impact on rate revenue?
7. Have any commercial valuation objections proceeded to external review, tribunal or court proceedings in the above financial years?
  - a) If so, how many?
  - b) What were the outcomes of those matters?
  - c) What legal or external costs were incurred by the City of Adelaide in relation to those proceedings?
8. Does the City of Adelaide provide guidance or assistance to commercial ratepayers who wish to understand or challenge their valuation, and if so, what form does that assistance take?

The Lord Mayor will provide a reply at the meeting, the reply and question will be included in the Minutes of the meeting.

---

- END OF REPORT -

## Councillor Cabada - QoN - Application of Endorsed Parklet Fee Schedules Across Permit Holders

Tuesday, 24 March 2026  
Council

**Council Member**  
Councillor Alfredo Cabada

Public

**Contact Officer:**  
Jo Podoliak, Director City  
Community

## QUESTION ON NOTICE

In relation to the response to the Question on Notice - Councillor Cabada - QoN - Application of Endorsed Parklet Fee Schedules Across Permit Holders, provided at the meeting of Council held on 24 February 2026, further information is provided in response to question 6:

- ‘6. *For the parklets in Question 1, detail Every invoice sent to the parklet owner and include the date and amount of the invoice.*
- a. *Was any invoice ever amended, corrected or withdrawn in relation to the parklets in Question 1?*
  - b. *If yes to question 6a, what were those amendments and why were they amended.’*

The Lord Mayor will provide a confidential reply at the meeting, at Item 20 of this Agenda. The reply and question will be included in the Confidential Minutes of the meeting.

---

- END OF REPORT -

## Councillor Cabada - QoN - Vehicle Theft in the Adelaide CBD

Tuesday, 24 March 2026  
Council

**Council Member**  
Councillor Alfredo Cabada

Public

**Contact Officer:**  
Ilia Houridis, Director City Shaping

## QUESTION ON NOTICE

**Councillor Alfredo Cabada will ask the following Question on Notice:**

'In light of recent media reporting citing SA Police data that Adelaide recorded the highest number of vehicle theft offences in the State during the 2024–25 financial year, I seek clarification from the CEO on the following matters:

1. Is the City of Adelaide aware of the SAPOL data referenced in recent media reporting indicating that 184 vehicle theft offences occurred within the 5000 postcode during the 2024–25 financial year?
2. Has Council received any recent briefings from SAPOL regarding trends in vehicle theft within the CBD and North Adelaide?
3. Are there specific locations, precincts or public car parks within the City where vehicle theft or attempted theft incidents are more frequently occurring?
4. What preventative measures are currently in place within Council-managed car parks and public spaces to deter vehicle theft, including:
  - a) CCTV coverage
  - b) Lighting improvements
  - c) Security patrols
  - d) Crime prevention through environmental design measures
5. Has Council undertaken any recent assessments of lighting, surveillance or security infrastructure in areas where vehicle theft incidents are occurring?
6. Does Council have any current or planned initiatives, in collaboration with SAPOL, aimed at reducing vehicle theft or improving public safety in the CBD?
7. Has Council considered whether additional lighting, surveillance, security presence or design changes may be required in Council-managed parking areas or public spaces to help deter vehicle theft?'

The Lord Mayor will provide a reply at the meeting, the reply and question will be included in the Minutes of the meeting.

- END OF REPORT -

## Councillor Abrahamzadeh - QoN - Motions and Questions

Tuesday, 24 March 2026  
Council

**Council Member**  
Councillor Arman Abrahamzadeh

Public

**Contact Officer:**  
Anthony Spartalis, Chief Operating  
Officer

---

## QUESTION ON NOTICE

**Councillor Arman Abrahamzadeh will ask the following Question on Notice:**

'In relation to Motions and Questions submitted by Elected Members, what policy framework constitutes:

- the maximum number of Motions and Questions on Notice; and
- the relevant authority, including relevant provisions, that can impose such a limit?'

The Lord Mayor will provide a reply at the meeting, the reply and question will be included in the Minutes of the meeting.

---

- END OF REPORT -

## Councillor Couros - QoN - Freedom of Information - SAPOL Communications

Tuesday, 24 March 2026  
Council

**Council Member**  
Councillor Mary Couros

Public

**Contact Officer:**  
Anthony Spartalis, Chief Operating Officer

## QUESTION ON NOTICE

### **Councillor Mary Couros will ask the following Question on Notice:**

In light of recent media reporting and public comments made by an elected member regarding communications between SA Police and City of Adelaide, several community members and ratepayers have expressed concern about the council not being transparent regarding the FOI request.

Given the elected member concerned has now publicly discussed aspects of the matter and was clear that transparency is important, I ask the Administration to advise:

1. Whether the public statements made by the councillor regarding the incident and existence of communications with SA Police alter the basis on which the documents were withheld under the Freedom of Information Act 1991 (SA)
2. Whether, in light of the matter now being publicly discussed by the councillor concerned, the Administration will reconsider the decision to refuse release of the three communications referenced in the FOI request.
3. If the decision is reconsidered, can the Administration explain how the continued withholding of the documents remains justified since the subject of the communications is already publicly commenting on the matter?
4. Whether the Administration has considered the public interest in maintaining transparency and public confidence in the City of Adelaide, given the perception within the community that the council may be withholding information

The Lord Mayor will provide a reply at the meeting, the reply and question will be included in the Minutes of the meeting.

---

- END OF REPORT -

## Councillor Couros - QoN - Part 6 of Question on Notice - Application of Endorsed Parklet Fee Schedules Across Permit Holders

Tuesday, 24 March 2026  
**Council**

**Council Member**  
Councillor Mary Couros

Public

**Contact Officer:**  
Jo Podoliak, Director City  
Community

---

## QUESTION ON NOTICE

### **Councillor Mary Couros will ask the following Question on Notice:**

Noting that part of QoN on the 24<sup>th</sup> February 2026 for Application of Endorsed Parklet Fee Schedules Across Permit Holders, can the administration please answer the following question that was not answered:-

- '6. For the parklets in Question 1, detail every invoice sent to the parklet owner and include the date and amount of the invoice.
  - a. Was any invoice ever amended, corrected, or withdrawn in relation to the parklets in Question 1?
  - b. If yes to question 6a, what were those amendments and why were they amended?

The Lord Mayor will provide a confidential reply at the meeting, at Item 20 of this Agenda. The reply and question will be included in the Confidential Minutes of the meeting.

---

- END OF REPORT -

**Program Contact:**

Anthony Spartalis, Chief  
Operating Officer

**Approving Officer:**

Michael Sedgman, Chief  
Executive Officer

Public

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Section 90(2) of the *Local Government Act 1999 (SA)* (the Act), states that a Council may order that the public be excluded from attendance at a meeting if the Council considers it to be necessary and appropriate to act in a meeting closed to the public to receive, discuss or consider in confidence any information or matter listed in section 90(3) of the Act.

It is the recommendation of the Chief Executive Officer that the public be excluded from this Council meeting for the consideration of information and matters contained in the Agenda.

For the following Reply to Question on Notice seeking consideration in confidence

- 20** Confidential Question on Notice Reply [section 90(3) (b) & (d) of the Act]

For the following Committee Reports for Recommendation to Council seeking consideration in confidence

- 21** Confidential Recommendation of the Infrastructure and Public Works Committee – 17 March 2026 [section 90(3) (b) & (d) of the Act]

The Order to Exclude for Items 20 and 21:

1. Identifies the information and matters (grounds) from section 90(3) of the Act utilised to request consideration in confidence.
  2. Identifies the basis – how the information falls within the grounds identified and why it is necessary and appropriate to act in a meeting closed to the public.
  3. In addition, identifies for the following grounds – section 90(3) (b), (d) or (j) of the Act - how information open to the public would be contrary to the public interest.
-

# ORDER TO EXCLUDE FOR ITEM 20

## THAT COUNCIL:

1. Having taken into account the relevant consideration contained in section 90(3) (b) & (d) and section 90(2) & (7) of the *Local Government Act 1999 (SA)*, this meeting of the Council dated 24 March 2026 resolves that it is necessary and appropriate to act in a meeting closed to the public as the consideration of Item 20 [Confidential Question on Notice Reply] listed on the Agenda in a meeting open to the public would on balance be contrary to the public interest.

### Grounds and Basis

This item is being considered in confidence as it contains commercially sensitive information relating to business-specific invoices and commercial arrangements as it contains.

### Public Interest

The consideration and release of this information is contrary to the public interest, as disclosure could reasonably be expected to prejudice the commercial position of the businesses concerned, undermine confidentiality obligations, and compromise Council's ability to conduct fair and effective commercial dealings.

2. Pursuant to section 90(2) of the *Local Government Act 1999 (SA)* (the Act), this meeting of the Council dated 24 March 2026 orders that the public (with the exception of members of Corporation staff and any person permitted to remain) be excluded from this meeting to enable this meeting to receive, discuss or consider in confidence Item 20 [Confidential Question on Notice Reply] listed in the Agenda, on the grounds that such item of business, contains information and matters of a kind referred to in section 90(3) (b) & (d) of the Act.

# ORDER TO EXCLUDE FOR ITEM 21

## THAT COUNCIL:

1. Having taken into account the relevant consideration contained in section 90(3) (b) & (d) and section 90(2) & (7) of the *Local Government Act 1999 (SA)*, this meeting of the Council dated 24 March 2026 resolves that it is necessary and appropriate to act in a meeting closed to the public as the consideration of Item 21 [Confidential Recommendation of the Infrastructure and Public Works Committee – 17 March 2026] listed on the Agenda in a meeting open to the public would on balance be contrary to the public interest.

### Grounds and Basis

This Item is commercial information of a confidential nature (not being a trade secret), the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to confer a commercial advantage on a person with whom the Council is conducting business, prejudice the commercial position of the Council and prejudice the commercial position of the person who supplied the information and confer a commercial advantage on a third party.

The disclosure of information in this report could reasonably prejudice the commercial position and identity of the proponent who supplied 'commercial in confidence' information containing a business case and capability to undertake a promotional opportunity for evaluation by Council at the request of the Council for which 'commercial in confidence' discussions have been undertaken and remain current. Disclosure of this information may adversely impact project viability, prejudice the ability to undertake/participate in future negotiations on the proposal and prejudice the Council's commercial position and opportunity for Council to participate in future like considerations or discussions.

### Public Interest

The Council is satisfied that the principle that the meeting be conducted in a place open to the public has been outweighed in the circumstances because the disclosure of this information may result in the release of information prior to the finalisation of commercial Lease negotiations with the preferred proponents and competitors receiving the information to the detriment of the proponents who supplied information at this point in time, may materially and adversely affect the financial viability of the proponent for any future projects and severely prejudice Council's ability to discuss/participate or influence a proposal for the benefit of the Council and the community in this matter and in relation to other commercial Lease negotiations.

2. Pursuant to section 90(2) of the *Local Government Act 1999 (SA)* (the Act), this meeting of the Council dated 24 March 2026 orders that the public (with the exception of members of Corporation staff and any person permitted to remain) be excluded from this meeting to enable this meeting to receive, discuss or consider in confidence Item 21 [Confidential Recommendation of the Infrastructure and Public Works Committee – 17 March 2026] listed in the Agenda, on the grounds that such item of business, contains information and matters of a kind referred to in section 90(3) (b) & (d) of the Act.



# DISCUSSION

1. Section 90(1) of the *Local Government Act 1999 (SA)* (the Act) directs that a meeting of Council must be conducted in a place open to the public.
2. Section 90(2) of the Act, states that a Council may order that the public be excluded from attendance at a meeting if Council considers it to be necessary and appropriate to act in a meeting closed to the public to receive, discuss or consider in confidence any information or matter listed in section 90(3) of the Act.
3. Section 90(3) of the Act prescribes the information and matters that a Council may order that the public be excluded from.
4. Section 90(4) of the Act, advises that in considering whether an order should be made to exclude the public under section 90(2) of the Act, it is irrelevant that discussion of a matter in public may -
  - (a) *cause embarrassment to the council or council committee concerned, or to members or employees of the council; or*
  - (b) *cause a loss of confidence in the council or council committee; or*
  - (c) *involve discussion of a matter that is controversial within the council area; or*
  - (d) *make the council susceptible to adverse criticism.*
5. Section 90(7) of the Act requires that an order to exclude the public:
  - 5.1 Identify the information and matters (grounds) from section 90(3) of the Act utilised to request consideration in confidence.
  - 5.2 Identify the basis – how the information falls within the grounds identified and why it is necessary and appropriate to act in a meeting closed to the public.
  - 5.3 In addition identify for the following grounds – section 90(3) (b), (d) or (j) of the Act - how information open to the public would be contrary to the public interest.
6. Section 83(5) of the Act has been utilised to identify in the Agenda and on the Report for the meeting, that the following reports are submitted seeking consideration in confidence.
  - 6.1 Information contained in Item 20 – Confidential Question on Notice Reply
    - 6.1.1 Is not subject to an Existing Confidentiality Order
    - 6.1.2 The grounds utilised to request consideration in confidence is section 90(3) (b) & (d) of the Act
      - (b) information the disclosure of which—
        - (i) could reasonably be expected to confer a commercial advantage on a person with whom the council is conducting, or proposing to conduct, business, or to prejudice the commercial position of the council; and
        - (ii) would, on balance, be contrary to the public interest
      - (d) commercial information of a confidential nature (not being a trade secret) the disclosure of which—
        - (i) could reasonably be expected to prejudice the commercial position of the person who supplied the information, or to confer a commercial advantage on a third party; and
        - (ii) would, on balance, be contrary to the public interest
  - 6.2 Information contained in Item 21 – Confidential Recommendation of the Infrastructure and Public Works Committee – 17 March 2026
    - 6.2.1 Is subject to an Existing Confidentiality Order dated 17/3/2026
    - 6.2.2 The grounds utilised to request consideration in confidence is section 90(3) (b) & (d) of the Act
      - (b) information the disclosure of which—
        - (i) could reasonably be expected to confer a commercial advantage on a person with whom the council is conducting, or proposing to conduct, business, or to prejudice the commercial position of the council; and
        - (ii) would, on balance, be contrary to the public interest
      - (d) commercial information of a confidential nature (not being a trade secret) the disclosure of which—

- (i) could reasonably be expected to prejudice the commercial position of the person who supplied the information, or to confer a commercial advantage on a third party; and
- (ii) would, on balance, be contrary to the public interest

---

## ATTACHMENTS

Nil

---

- END OF REPORT -

Document is Restricted

Document is Restricted

Document is Restricted